===Ero===

====Soil erosion controls the internal link to magnitude – BP spill means there on the brink, another spill means they degrade faster, destroying our ability to grow food – that~’s Ikerd ====

====~~[ ~~] That turns any war impact – resource scarcity increases the likelihood of conflict====

\*\*Gendron %26 Hoffman, 09 - The Peace and Conflict Review ("Resource Scarcity and the Prevention of Violent Conflicts", Fall 2009, Volume 4, Issue 1, http://www.review.upeace.org/index.cfm?opcion=0%26ejemplar=18%26entrada=90)\*\*

Resource scarcity can be defined according to three principals. The first is basic human

AND

management (Bercovitch et al., 2009, Part III, Chapter 1).

===2ac T===

====We meet –THA is a launching pad for long-term structural linkage, and their Haas evidence says that EE includes tech transfer====

====Counter-Interpretation: Economic engagement is trade, investment, lending, aid and monetary policy====

Vickery, 11 – former Assistant Secretary of Commere for Trade and Development in the

AND

, and the monetary and regulatory interactions that effect these categories of engagement.

====Prefer our interpretation:====

====A. Education: Our literature is core of the topic – arbitrarily excluding it means we lose key education about economic engagement====

====B. Aff Ground – every aff is solved by international counterplans – generics and functional limits check topic explosion and prove our aff is key to equitable ground====

====C. Lit checks and solves their offense – they still get links to their core generics====

====D. Precision – their evidence doesn~’t indicate what constitutes "exclusive" economic engagement – they can shift their interpretation to arbitrarily exclude any aff just by saying it does something else====

====It also turns their limits arguments – everyone interprets their definitions differently====

\*\*Ogburn, 95, \*\*(William Fielding Ogburn, Florida State University, Social Forces, Vol. 34, No. 1 (Oct., 1955), pp. 10-18, "Some Observations on Sociological Research", [[http://www.jstor.org/stable/2574254-http://www.jstor.org/stable/2574254]], //LOH)

It has nevertheless seemed to me that the essential literary quality in the writing of

AND

- works in the welcoming paper of Renan, the philosopher and historian.

====E. Good is good enough – as long as we meet a reasonable interp, we shouldn~’t have to meet the best – you should prefer reasonability because it internal-link turns limits and their interp links to their offense====

\*\*Extra topicality is not a voting issue – we don~’t justify those parts of the bill and it is inevitable anyways because there are always things that aren~’t tangentially related – potential abuse isn~’t a voting issue\*\*

====F. Shifting DA – They can arbitrarily shift their interpretation to fit any aff because of the ambiguity in their cards====

====Here~’s evidence – Every form of engagement can be linked to the economy – their division is impossible====

\*\*Merrick 98\*\* (Robert R. Merrick, Lieutenant Colonel in the US Army, "Engagement: The Nation~’s Premier Grand Strategy, Who~’s In Charge?, April 1 1998, [[http://www.dtic.mil/cgi-bin/GetTRDoc?Location=U2%26doc=GetTRDoc.pdf%26AD=ADA342695-http://www.dtic.mil/cgi-bin/GetTRDoc?Location=U2%26doc=GetTRDoc.pdf%26AD=ADA342695]], DTB)

Virtually everything the US government does that touches other countries can be traced back to

AND

to market routes also improve access to remote areas for counter narcotics activities.

===2ac CP===

====Not going for clean tech – it only solves clean tech – it doesn~’t solve naything else that was cross-x====

Perm do both – pass the TH

====\_\_\_ conditional options are a voting issue- ====

====A) 2AC strategy skew- cross applications prevents and deters reading 2AC offense, kills affirmative strategy ====

====B) Advocacy skills and education - we never defend positions in the debate- kills portable skills - bolsters ideological polarization====

~~[ ~~] You get dispo

~~[ ~~] You get one condo

===2ac Obama good===

\*\*====Won~’t pass – GOP filibuster and PC not key====\*\*

\*\*Moran, 9/21 – Political Columnist for PJ Media ("GOP Senators Plan Filibuster of Republican House Continuing Resolution", 9/21/13, http://pjmedia.com/tatler/2013/09/21/gop-senators-plan-filibuster-of-republican-house-continuing-resolution)\*\* GOP Senators, led by Ted Cruz, are planning on filibustering the continuing resolution that just passed the House on Friday. There is method to this madness. Harry Reid will have to get a successful cloture vote — 60 votes — to bring the continuing resolution passed by the House to the floor. Once that happens, Reid can use a procedural vote to strip the Obamacare defunding out of the bill, requiring only a simple majority. Cruz and other Republicans believe the only play they have is to pressure Harry Reid over the government shut down by forcing him to allow a straight up or down vote on the bill, including the defunding measure. Are there 6 Democratic Senators who would vote to defund? That~’s what Cruz and his allies want to find out. Roll Call: Sen. Ted Cruz said Friday that Republican senators should, in effect, filibuster the House-passed continuing resolution in the Senate. The Texas Republican is calling on his colleagues to oppose limiting debate on it, warning against what he calls procedural trickery. "Step two is the Senate, where all accounts suggest Harry Reid plans to use procedural gimmicks to try to add funding back in for Obamacare," Cruz said. "If Reid pursues this plan — if he insists on using a 50-vote threshold to fund Obamacare with a partisan vote of only Democrats — then I hope that every Senate Republican will stand together and oppose cloture on the bill in order to keep the House bill intact and not let Harry Reid add Obamacare funding back in." "Now is a time for party unity; Senate Republicans should stand side-by-side with courageous House Republicans," Cruz said. The statement underscores the unwinnable procedural hand faced by conservative senators, however. They know that Senate Majority Leader Harry Reid will move to strike out the Obamacare defunding language after getting the 60 votes needed to limit debate, but they can~’t stop him without effectively endorsing a government shutdown. The Nevada Democrat~’s move is completely in keeping with long-standing Senate rules. Pending germane amendments and motions, such as a motion to strike, are allowed simple-majority votes after debate~’s been limited. "Republicans are simply postponing for a few days the inevitable choice they must face: pass a clean bill to fund the government, or force a shutdown. I have said it before but it seems to bear repeating: The Senate will not pass any bill that defunds or delays Obamacare," Reid said in a Friday statement. Cruz~’s statement came shortly after the head of the Senate Conservatives Fund said likewise. "Harry Reid needs 60 votes to approve his plan to fund Obamacare. If 41 Republicans stand strong and oppose cloture, they can defeat Reid~’s plan to fund Obamacare. However, if Republicans waffle and vote for cloture, it will grease the skids for Reid~’s plan to fund Obamacare. It~’s pretty simple — any Republican who votes for cloture is voting to fund Obamacare," SCF Executive Director Matt Hoskins said.

\*\*The 1NC link card says nothing – just says THA is politically diverse – not that it would sap Obama~’s PC\*\*

\*\*PC low – Summers nomination\*\*

\*\*Koffler, 9/17 – White House Reporter ("Chuck Todd: White House Screwed Up Summers Nomination", 9/17/13, http://www.whitehousedossier.com/2013/09/17/chuck-todd-white-house-screwed-summers-nomination)\*\*

MSNBC~’s Chuck Todd this morning laid blame directly at the North Portico doorstep for President

AND

political capital" he can~’t punish Democrats for opposing Summers, Todd said.

\*\*====Obama is pushing EPA regs – congressional backlash means fights are inevitable====\*\*

\*\*Shear, 9/20 – Columnist for NYT ("Administration to Press Ahead With Carbon Limits", 9/20/13, http://www.nytimes.com/2013/09/21/us/politics/obama-administration-carbon-limits.html)\*\*

WASHINGTON — The Obama administration on Friday announced that it was not backing down from

AND

a vote to stop this devastating E.P.A. rule."

====Obama not focused on budget vote – he won~’t make concessions====

\*\*Fox 9-19\*\* (Fox News Politics, "Boehner blasts Obama for bargaining with Putin, not Congress ahead of budget vote," [[http://www.foxnews.com/politics/2013/09/19/white-house-threatens-to-veto-de-fund-obamacare-bill/-http://www.foxnews.com/politics/2013/09/19/white-house-threatens-to-veto-de-fund-obamacare-bill/]], 9-19-13)

House Speaker John Boehner ripped President Obama for negotiating with Vladimir Putin while giving congressional

AND

ideological agenda that threatens our economy and the interests of the middle class."

====Oil and gas lobbies love the plan====

\*\*Kollipara ~’12 \*\*

\*\*(Puneet, "U.S., Mexico, reach accord on drilling below maritime border", Fuel Fix, 2-20-2012, http://fuelfix.com/blog/2012/02/20/449517/)\*\*

The agreement announced today also would lift a moratorium on waters in a buffer area

AND

172 million barrels of oil and 304 billion cubic feet of natural gas.

====Turns the DA====

\*\*Froomkin ~’11 \*\*

\*\*(Dan, "How the Oil Lobby Greases Washington~’s Wheels", Huffington Post, 4-6-2011, http://www.huffingtonpost.com/2011/04/06/how-the-oil-lobby-greases\_n\_845720.html)\*\*

Clout in Washington isn~’t about winning legislative battles — it~’s about making sure that they

AND

votes to block any legislation — never even took a stab at it.

====DA~’s not intrinsic ====

====Fiat solves link====

====Shutdown not likely====

\*\*Ungar, 9/19 – Writer for Forbes and a Democratic strategist with Mercury Public Affairs (Rick, "Government Shut Down Likely To Actually Happen This Time," Forbes, 19 September 2013, http://www.forbes.com/sites/rickungar/2013/09/19/government-shut-down-likely-to-actually-happen-this-time/)//HO\*\*

So it goes with modern government in the United States and its own version of

AND

to clear out the bad blood.¶ Can~’t happen soon enough for me.

====Winners win – empirically proven, it~’s true for energy, and compromises don~’t work====

\*\*Gergen 1/19 – CNN Senior Political Analyst\*\*

\*\*(David, "Obama 2.0: Smarter – but wiser?", CNN, 1-19-2013, http://www.cnn.com/2013/01/18/opinion/gergen-obama-two/index.html)\*\*

On the eve of his second inaugural, President Obama appears smarter, tougher and

AND

for a "grand bargain" appear to be hanging by a thread.

===2ac neolib===

The role of the ballot is to \*\*evaluate the simulated consequences of plan passage- anything else distracts away from the stasis point of discussion, and guts predictability\*\*

Net-benefit is Latin American policy discussions—they are necessary to create real world change – anything else is a DA to the alt~’s method

González no date (Francisco E.- Riordan Roett Senior Associate Professor of Latin American Studies at Johns Hopkins and British Academy Postdoctoral Fellow at Nuffield College at the University of Oxford; was a professorial lecturer at SAIS~’s Bologna Center and a lecturer in politics at St. John~’s College at the University of Oxford; received 2006 SAIS Excellence in Teaching Award; Ph.D., politics, University of Oxford; "POLITICS AND POLICIES"; http://lasa.international.pitt.edu/LARR/prot/fulltext/vol48no1/48-1\_221-227\_gonzales.pdf)

The growing interest in the interaction of policies and politics is a welcome antidote to

AND

reviewed in these pages make original individual and collective contributions to this endeavor.

====Non-unique – passed in Mexico ====

====No neoliberal movements ====

Franks, 07 Glasgow political philosophy lecturer, (Benjamin, "Who Are You to tell me to Question Authority?", Variant issue 29, [[http://www.variant.org.uk/29texts/Franks29.html-http://www.variant.org.uk/29texts/Franks29.html]])

Potentially stronger criticisms of Giroux~’s text lie precisely in his underlying hypothesis concerning the totalising

AND

or fails to measure up to the ~’educators~’ standard of critical evaluation.

====Perm do both – if the ALT is overcome the SQ – it~’s probs able to overcome the residual links to the AFF====

No link – already ratified in Mexico – alt cause to alt bc voting neg doesn~’t reject bill in Mexico

Case is a DA to the alt - economic collapse reinforces the neoliberal model

Mead, 9 – Senior Fellow in U.S. Foreign Policy at the Council on Foreign Relations (Walter Russell, The New Republic, "Only Makes You Stronger", 2/4, [[http://www.tnr.com/politics/story.html?id=571cbbb9-2887-4d81-8542-92e83915f5f8%26p=2-http://www.tnr.com/politics/story.html?id=571cbbb9-2887-4d81-8542-92e83915f5f8%26p=2]])

Perhaps—but the long history of capitalism suggests another possibility. After all,

AND

into 2008 breathing fire and boasting of its renewed great-power status.

====Alt doesn~’t solve their impact- one rejection of the aff isn~’t sufficient to solve ====

====Utopian/vague alts are a reason to reject the team- block can shift out of 2AC offense====

====Scientific knowledge of the environment is best - it subjects itself to constant refinement based on empirical evidence ====

\*\*Hutcheon\*\* \*\*93\*\*—former prof of sociology of education at U Regina and U British Columbia. Former research advisor to the Health Promotion Branch of the Canadian Department of Health and Welfare and as a director of the Vanier Institute of the Family. Phd in sociology, began at Yale and finished at U Queensland. (Pat, A Critique of "Biology as Ideology: The Doctrine of DNA", http://www.humanists.net/pdhutcheon/humanist%20articles/lewontn.htm)

The introductory lecture in this series articulated the increasingly popular "postmodernist" claim that

AND

mean failure, in that the theory itself has altered in the process.

====Neoliberalism is good – two reasons====

1. Policymaking – develops better initaties in sync with global economic models – clean tech and renewable reforms disprove the impact

====Markets empirically bolster models for peace====

Chen, 2K (Jim, "ESSAY: PAX MERCATORIA: GLOBALIZATION AS A SECOND CHANCE AT "PEACE FOR OUR TIME", 24 Fordham Int~’l L.J. 217, lexis)

The antiglobalization movement has made some extraordinary claims. Let us transplant a precept of

AND

unjustly privileged few. That way runs anew the road to serfdom. n197

====Method focus causes paradigm wars====

\*\*Wendt, ~’98\*\* – professor of international security – Ohio State University, ~’98 (Alexander, "On Constitution and Causation in International Relations," British International Studies Association)

As a community, we in the academic study of international politics spend too much

AND

sparring over epistemology is often one-sided, intolerant caricatures of science.

====No root cause of war – complexity dooms monocausal explnalations====

\*\*Jabri 96 \*\*Vivienne, Lecturer in International Relations at the University of Kent, Introduction: Conflict Analysis Reconsidered," Discourses on Violence: Conflict Analysis Reconsidered, Published by Manchester University Press ND, ISBN 0719039592, p. 3

The study of war has produced a number of often conflicting answers to Quincy Wright~’s

AND

attempts to isolate common features leading up to the decision for war.2